



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,555	07/16/2004	Bo Johan Niklas Niklasson	10400-000111/US	5132
75304 7590 06/01/2009 Capitol City TechLaw, PLLC PO BOX 1210 VIENNA, VA 22183				
EXAMINER				
EISEMAN, ADAM JARED				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3736				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/01/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/501,555

Applicant(s)NIKLAASSON, BO JOHAN
NIKLAS**Examiner**

ADAM J. EISEMAN

Art Unit

3736

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ADAM J. EISEMAN.(3) Ray Hefflin.(2) Max Hindenburg.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18, and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Anhauser (US 5,044,372) and Liedtke (US 4,765,986).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments regarding the previous rejection as applied to independent claims 1, 18 and 20. The applicant's argument regarding the prior art as applied to the claims appears to overcome the currently held rejection. The examiner suggested amendment of claim to further clarify the established aspects of the claim. The applicant was advised that the finality of the previous action will be withdrawn and the claims will be subject to examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. J. E./
Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736